On the compact wave dynamics of tensegrity metamaterials metaMAT webinar series

Fernando Fraternali

Department of Civil Engineering, University of Salerno, Italy

meta	Mechanical Acoustic Thermal
------	-----------------------------------

03 March 2021, 02 PM GMT

F. Fraternali

On the compact wave dynamics of tensegrity metamaterials 1/42

Outline



- 2 Nonlinear response of 2D/3D lattices
- 3 Numerical modeling
- 4 Solitary wave dynamics
- 5 Tensegrity acoustic lenses
- **6** Discussion and Outlook

Tensegrity Metamaterials 1D Preliminaries Bistable metamaterials 2D and 3D lattices

Introduction - Tensegrity Metamaterials

Nonlinear metamaterials are progressively emerging as structured materials that can tune their responses to the level of the applied stress/strain and the amplitude of traveling waves.

Particularly interesting is the class of tensegrity metamaterials: their mechanical behavior can be effectively adjusted by playing with internal and external prestress, as well as the usual controls of geometry, topology, and properties of the members.



nlinear response of 2D/3D lattices Numerical modeling Solitary wave dynamics Tensegrity acoustic lenses Discussion and Outlook Tensegrity Metamaterials 1D Preliminaries Bistable metamaterials 2D and 3D lattices

Main goals of ongoing research on tensegrity metamaterials



nlinear response of 2D/3D lattices Numerical modeling Solitary wave dynamics Tensegrity acoustic lenses Discussion and Outlook Tensegrity Metamaterials 1D Preliminaries Bistable metamaterials 2D and 3D lattices

1D Preliminaries - Geometrically nonlinear response of tensegrity prisms



Kinematic variables

$$\ell, h, \phi$$

Compatibility equations

$$b = \sqrt{h^2 - \frac{2}{3}\ell^2 \cos(\phi) + \frac{2\ell^2}{3}}$$
$$s = \frac{\sqrt{3h^2 - \sqrt{3}\ell^2 \sin(\phi) + \ell^2 \cos(\phi) + 2\ell^2}}{\sqrt{3}}$$

Force densities

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= -\frac{2F\sin(\phi)}{3\sqrt{3}h\left(\sqrt{3}\sin(\phi) + \cos(\phi)\right)} \\ x_2 &= -\frac{F\left(\sin^2(\phi) - \sqrt{3}\sin(\phi) + \cos^2(\phi) - \cos(\phi)\right)}{9h\left(\sqrt{3}\sin(\phi) + \cos(\phi)\right)} \\ x_3 &= \frac{F}{3h} - \frac{2F\sin(\phi)}{3\sqrt{3}h\left(\sqrt{3}\sin(\phi) + \cos(\phi)\right)} \end{aligned}$$

Work in collaboration with: A. Amendola, G. Carpentieri, M. de Oliveira, R.E. Skelton (Compos Struct, 117, 234-243, 2014; J Mech Phys Solids, 74, 136-157, 2015)

alinear response of 2D/3D lattices Numerical modeling Solitary wave dynamics Tensegrity acoustic lenses Discussion and Outlook Tensegrity Metamaterials 1D Preliminaries Bistable metamaterials 2D and 3D lattices

Admissible configurations



nlinear response of 2D/3D lattices Numerical modeling Solitary wave dynamics Tensegrity acoustic lenses Discussion and Outlook Tensegrity Metamaterials 1D Preliminaries Bistable metamaterials 2D and 3D lattices

Fully elastic model

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{s} k_1 (s - s_N), \quad x_2 = \frac{1}{\ell} k_2 (\ell - \ell_N), \quad x_3 = -\frac{1}{b} k_3 (b - b_N)$$



movie ↑

nlinear response of 2D/3D lattices Numerical modeling Solitary wave dynamics Tensegrity acoustic lenses Discussion and Outlook Tensegrity Metamaterials 1D Preliminaries Bistable metamaterials 2D and 3D lattices

Rigid-elastic model (rigid bases)



nlinear response of 2D/3D lattices Numerical modeling Solitary wave dynamics Tensegrity acoustic lenses Discussion and Outlook Tensegrity Metamaterials 1D Preliminaries Bistable metamaterials 2D and 3D lattices

Compact compression waves on 1D tensegrity chains with stiffening response



Work in collaboration with: A. Amendola, G. Carpentieri, C. Daraio, V.F. Nesterenko, L. Senatore, R.E. Skelton (J Mech Phys Solids, 60, 1137-1144, 2012; APL, 105, 201903, 2014)

nlinear response of 2D/3D lattices Numerical modeling Solitary wave dynamics Tensegrity acoustic lenses Discussion and Outlook Tensegrity Metamaterials 1D Preliminaries Bistable metamaterials 2D and 3D lattices

Class $\theta = 1$ prisms



 $\begin{array}{l} b = bar \mbox{ length } \\ l = horizontal cables \mbox{ length } \\ c = inner cables \mbox{ length } \\ w = vertical cables \mbox{ length } \\ \alpha = twisting \mbox{ angle between } \\ top \mbox{ and bottom } bases \\ \beta = slope \mbox{ of the internal } \\ strings \mbox{ over the horizontal } \\ plane \end{array}$

Modano, M., Mascolo, I., Fraternali, F., Bieniek, Z. Numerical and analytical approaches to the selfequilibrium problem of class θ = 1 tensegrity metamaterials. FRONTIERS IN MATERIALS (Mechanics of Materials), 5:5, 2018.

Mascolo, I., Amendola, A., Zuccaro, G., Feo, L., Fraternali, F. On the geometrically nonlinear elastic response of class 0 = 1 tensegrity metamaterials. FRONTIERS IN MATERIALS (Mechanics of Materials), 5:16, 2018.

onlinear response of 2D/3D lattices Numerical modeling Solitary wave dynamics Tensegrity acoustic lenses Discussion and Outlook Tensegrity Metamaterials 1D Preliminaries Bistable metamaterials 2D and 3D lattices



nlinear response of 2D/3D lattices Numerical modeling Solitary wave dynamics Tensegrity acoustic lenses Discussion and Outlook Tensegrity Metamaterials 1D Preliminaries Bistable metamaterials 2D and 3D lattices

Construction of physical models



Connection and securing of Spectra[®] cables to eyelets and washer to be passed through the threaded bars (a-c); a triangular network of cables (d); a vertical cable (e)



Bar equipment: before (a) and after (b) the fasting of the steel nuts $% \left({{\left[{{{\rm{st}}} \right]}_{{\rm{st}}}}_{{\rm{st}}}} \right)$



Assembling steps:

- mounting of top, bottom, and inner cables on the bars;
- (2) insertion of vertical cables;
- (3) tightening of the cables.

nlinear response of 2D/3D lattices Numerical modeling Solitary wave dynamics Tensegrity acoustic lenses Discussion and Outlook Tensegrity Metamaterials 1D Preliminaries Bistable metamaterials 2D and 3D lattices



experimental validation \triangleright

nlinear response of 2D/3D lattices Numerical modeling Solitary wave dynamics Tensegrity acoustic lenses Discussion and Outlook Tensegrity Metamaterials 1D Preliminaries Bistable metamaterials 2D and 3D lattices

Variation of the wave profile and transition to rarefaction waves



F. Fraternali

alinear response of 2D/3D lattices Numerical modeling Solitary wave dynamics Tensegrity acoustic lenses Discussion and Outlook Tensegrity Metamaterials 1D Preliminaries Bistable metamaterials 2D and 3D lattices

Bistable response of microscale lattices



scale bar: $10 \mu m$

movie ↑

Work in collaboration with: C. Grigoropoulos, A. Micheletti, Z. Vangelatos (Nanomaterials, 10, 652, 2020)

nlinear response of 2D/3D lattices Numerical modeling Solitary wave dynamics Tensegrity acoustic lenses Discussion and Outlook Tensegrity Metamaterials 1D Preliminaries Bistable metamaterials 2D and 3D lattices

Compression solitary waves on a column of bistable tensegrity prisms



Tensegrity Metamaterials 1D Preliminaries Bistable metamaterials 2D and 3D lattices

2D and 3D nonlinear tensegrity metamaterials

The second part of this talk is focused on the compact wave dynamics in 2D and 3D tensegrity beams and plates with stiffening-type, nonlinear elastic response. The given results in multiple dimensions prove the presence of compact compression waves in tensegrity lattices exhibiting such a behavior.

Some distinctive features of 2D and 3D systems are also discussed, which are related to thermalization effects near the impacted zones of the boundary. The analyzed behaviors suggest the use of multidimensional tensegrity lattices for the design and AM of novel sound focusing devices, and novel approaches to Non-Destructive Evaluation (NDE) and Structural Health Monitoring (SHM).

Two- and three-dimensional assemblies



Two-string system with stiffening response



Two-dimensional stiffening module (interpenetration mechanism)



Three-dimensional stiffening module (entaglement mechanism)



Equations of motion Material properties

Equations of motion

 $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{p})\mathbf{t}(\mathbf{p}) + \mathbf{M}\ddot{\mathbf{p}} = \mathbf{0},$

where **p** is the vector of nodal positions, **M** is the (constant) mass matrix, $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{p})$ is the equilibrium operator, and $\mathbf{t}(\mathbf{p})$ is the vector containing the axial forces of all members. The axial force in the *i*-th member is computed as follows

$$t_i = \tilde{k}_i(\mathbf{p}) \left(l_i(\mathbf{p}) - \overline{l}_i \right),$$

For the cables, we set $\tilde{k}_i(\mathbf{p}) = k_i$ when it results $l_i(\mathbf{p}) \ge \bar{l}_i$, and $\tilde{k}_i(\mathbf{p}) = 0$ when instead it results $l_i(\mathbf{p}) < \bar{l}_i$. The solution $\mathbf{p}(t)$ can be easily obtained through a *Matlab*[®] script.

Equations of motion Material properties

Material properties

quantity	value	units
cell side	20	mm
bar diameter	1.75	mm
cable diameter	0.25	mm
Ti6Al4V Young's modulus	120	Gpa
Ti6Al4V mass density	4.42	g/cm ³
Nylon 12 cables Young's modulus	0.5	Gpa
Lead mass density	11.34	g/cm ³
spherical mass radius	2.5	mm
additional nodal mass	0.74	g

Energy correlation function 2D beams Plates 3D beams

Solitary waves on stiffening systems

Solitary wave dynamics of weakly precompressed particulate systems featuring power-law interactions with exponent n > 1.

Characteristic phase speed V_s and the spatial length L_n of solitary waves (*Nesterenko*, *Dynamics of Heterogeneous Materials, Springer*, 2001)

$$V_s = c_n \sqrt{\frac{2}{n+1}} \varepsilon_m^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$$
$$L_n = \frac{\pi a}{n-1} \sqrt{\frac{n(n+1)}{6}}$$

Energy correlation function 2D beams Plates 3D beams

Compression solitary waves on 2D beams



Figure 1: Two-dimensional tensegrity beam under impact loading.

Work in collaboration with: A. Micheletti, G. Ruscica, (Nonlinear Dynam, 98, 2737-2753, 2019)

Energy correlation function 2D beams Plates 3D beams



Deformed configurations with superimposed energy colormaps for a 3×50 strip impacted with $v_0 = 5$ m/s.

Effects of different impact velocities

Table 1: Statistics of the wave speed, maximum axial strain of the cables, and total energy fraction of the ccw traveling across Part 2, for different impact velocities.

v ₀ (m/s)	<i>V̄_{ccw}</i> (m∕s)	<i>s_{V_{ccw}}</i> (m/s)	$arepsilon_m \ (\%)$	$\delta \hat{E}_{ccw} \ (\%)$
5.00	5.67	0.02	33.46	1.92
3.75	5.10	0.02	18.41	0.87
2.50	4.27	0.02	8.09	0.65

movie $v_0 = 3.75 \text{ m/s} \triangleright$ movie $v_0 = 2.50 \text{ m/s} \triangleright$

We further analyze the partition of the impact energy on examining the time-variation of the energies $E_j(t)$ that are associated with the nodes of Part 1 (thermalized region) and Part 2 (region behind the thermalized region):

$$E_j(t) = \frac{1}{2}m_j v_j^2(t) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_i \tilde{k}_i (l_i(t) - \bar{l}_i)^2$$

Energy correlation function: $C(t, t_0) = c(t)/c(t_0)$, where

$$c(t) = \frac{1}{N_b - N_a} \left\langle \sum_{i=N_a}^{N_b} E_i^2(t) \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{1}{N_a - N_b} \sum_{i=N_a}^{N_b} E_i(t) \right\rangle^2$$

Energy correlation function 2D beams Plates 3D beams



Figure 2: Energy correlation function in Part 1 (dashed blue curve) and Part 2 (solid red curve) for $v_0 = 5$ m/s (times in ms on the x-axis).

Energy correlation function 2D beams Plates 3D beams

Collision between two ccws on 2D beams - 1/3



Deformed configurations of a 1×80 strip after double impact with initial velocity $v_0 = 5$ m/s: a) t=0.089 s; b) t=0.138 s.

Introduction Introduction Interical modeling Solitary wave dynamics Tensegrity acoustic lenses Discussion and Outlook

Energy correlation function 2D beams Plates 3D beams

Collision between two ccws on 2D beams - 2/3



Deformed configurations of a 3×80 strip after double impact with initial velocity $v_0 = 5$ m/s: a) t=0.150 s; b) t=0.187 s.

Energy correlation function 2D beams Plates 3D beams

Collision between two ccws on 2D beams - 3/3



Deformed configurations of a 5×80 strip after double impact with initial velocity $v_0 = 5$ m/s: a) t=0.179 s; b) t=0.233 s.

Energy correlation function 2D beams Plates 3D beams

Solitary wave dynamics of a 2D plate



Work in collaboration with A. Micheletti (to appear)

F. Fraternali

Energy correlation function 2D beams Plates **3D beams**

Compression solitary waves on 3D beams



movie ↑

Deformed configurations and energy colormaps for a $2 \times 2 \times 30$ beam of cubic cells impacted with $v_0 = 1.25$ m/s.

Work in collaboration with: A. Micheletti, G. Ruscica, (Nonlinear Dynam, 98, 2737-2753, 2019)

Energy correlation function 2D beams Plates **3D beams**

Collision between two ccws on 3D beams



movie ↑

Deformed configurations of a $2 \times 2 \times 30$ beam of cubic cells after double impact with initial velocity $v_0 = 1.25$ m/s: a) t=0.124 s; b) t=0.225 s.



Figure 3: Acoustic lens consisting of an array of tensegrity beams featuring strong hardening response and scalable size of the unit cells.

A parade of numerical simulations of impact events on 2D and 3D tensegrity beams has led us to discover that the impact dynamics of such systems is characterized by the combination of thermalization phenomena in proximity of the impacted areas, and the formation and propagation of compact compression waves in front of the thermalized regions.

The traveling ccws transport energy on localized packets of unit cells spanning from two to three lattice modules in the longitudinal direction. Such compression waves propagate with nearly constant velocity before and after collisions with other ccws, and exhibit limited energy leaking during their propagation

The behaviors examined in the present study suggest the employment of tensegrity beams to form innovative acoustic lenses. Such devices are expected to be able to generate tunable ccws in an adjacent host medium, which will cohalesce at a given focal point (Spadoni and Daraio, PNAS 2010). The latter may consist of a material defect or a tumor mass in the host medium (Daraio and Fraternali, US Pat. No. 8,616,328)

Compared to devices based on granular metamaterials supporting fixed wavelength solitary waves, the tensegrity acoustic lenses will profit from the adjustable width of ccws in tensegrity lattices, and the atomic-scale localization phenomenon observed in the high-energy limit.

Future directions of the present research include:

- multiscale analytic modeling of the wave dynamics of tensegrity lattices
- development of novel additive manufacturing techniques for the fabrication of macro- and micro-scale physical models of lattices
- dynamical tests aimed at experimental validating the puzzling compact wave dynamics of tensegrity metamaterials
- fabrication and testing of acoustic lenses and SHM actuators and sensors with tensegrity architecture

Post-tensioning of 3D printed metallic structures

movie ↑

3D printing of adaptive microscale structures

3D printing of active hydrogels using projection micro-stereolithography: (a) schematic of a PµSL setup and fabrication process; (b) sample substrate movement during fabrication; and (c) water or solvent diffusion in a rod. Commonly employed hydrogels: poly(ethylene glyco)) diacrylate (PEGDA); hexanediol diacrylate (HDDA); poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) (PNIPAAm)





Work in collaboration with: H. Lee, C. Grigoropoulos, N. Singh, Z. Vangelatos (to appear)

Thank you for your attention!

Supplementary Materials on www.fernandofraternaliresearch.com

