Composites Part B 90 (2016) 76-85

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

**Composites Part B** 

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/compositesb

# On the reinforcement of cement mortars through 3D printed polymeric and metallic fibers

I. Farina <sup>a</sup>, F. Fabbrocino <sup>a</sup>, G. Carpentieri <sup>b, c</sup>, M. Modano <sup>c</sup>, A. Amendola <sup>b</sup>, R. Goodall <sup>d</sup>, L. Feo <sup>b</sup>, F. Fraternali <sup>b, \*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Engineering, Pegaso Telematic University 80132 Naples, Italy

<sup>b</sup> Department of Civil Engineering, University of Salerno, Via Giovanni Paolo II 132, 84084 Fisciano, Italy

<sup>c</sup> Department of Structural Engineering, University of Naples Federico II, 80132 Naples, Italy

<sup>d</sup> Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Sheffield, Mappin Street, Sheffield S1 3JD, UK

# ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 30 October 2015 Accepted 20 December 2015 Available online 30 December 2015

- Keywords:
- A. Fibres
- B. Fibre/matrix bond

B. Fracture toughness

C. Surface analysis Additive manufacturing

#### ABSTRACT

We employ additive manufacturing technologies for the design and fabrication of novel reinforcing elements of cement mortars. Three-point bending tests and optical microscope analyses are performed on a cement mortar reinforced with 3D printed fibers made of polymeric and metallic materials, which exhibit different surface morphology and roughness. Experimental and analytical results highlight that the shear capacity, flexural strength and fracture toughness of the examined materials greatly depend on the design and the material of the reinforcing fibers. Specimens reinforced with high surface roughness fibers exhibit shear failure and high interfacial bond strength, while unreinforced specimens and specimens reinforced with smooth fibers exhibit flexural failure and limited interfacial bond strength. We observe that mortar specimens reinforced with titanium alloy Ti6Al4V fibers exhibit load carrying capacity more than twice as high as specimens reinforced with photopolymeric fibers.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

# 1. Introduction

The nascent field of structural "metamaterials", i.e. artificial materials showing unconventional properties mainly derived by their geometric design is growing rapidly and attracting increasing attention from many research areas (refer, e.g., to [1] and references therein). In recent years, additive manufacturing (AM) has become the most common technique for fabricating materials that exhibit unusual behaviors, which are not found in natural materials. Some popular AM methods are: polyjet 3D printing technologies; electron beam melting; x-ray lithography; deep ultraviolet lithography; soft lithography; two-photon polymerization; atomic layer deposition; and projection micro-stereolithography, among other available methods (refer to [2-6] and references therein). However, the potential of rapid prototyping in the design of novel mechanical metamaterials is not completely understood at present, and there is

an urgent need for research exploring the suitability of such techniques for the manufacture of real life engineering materials.

Most natural shapes exhibit hierarchical organization of matter and fractal geometries, which provide increased surface area for the same volume of material. Recent studies have shown that hierarchical composites showing multiscale fibers coated with carbon nanotubes feature enhanced interlaminar shear strength (ILSS), a key property of composite materials, which can be weak in the presence of smooth matrix-fiber interfaces [7,8]. In such materials, the development of rough fracture surfaces near to the surface of the reinforcing elements, along with crack deflection mechanisms, enhances matrix toughness. The increase in the surface roughness of the reinforcing elements, as compared to smooth interfaces, delays the matrix failure and improves surface energy dissipation [7]. In addition, the pull-out of fine-scale features of the reinforcements bridges the matrix, significantly contributing to the enhancement of composite strength and toughness [8].

The present study investigates the use of additively manufactured reinforcing elements with multiscale geometry for the reinforcement of cementitious mortars. Fibers with structural hierarchy originating from their geometric design are manufactured from computer-aided design (CAD) data, employing additive





composites

癏

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

*E-mail addresses:* ilenia.farina@unipegaso.it (I. Farina), francesco.fabbrocino@ unipegaso.it (F. Fabbrocino), gcarpentieri@unisa.it (G. Carpentieri), modano@ unina.it (M. Modano), adaamendola1@unisa.it (A. Amendola), r.goodall@sheffield. ac.uk (R. Goodall), l.feo@unisa.it (L. Feo), f.fraternali@unisa.it (F. Fraternali).

Table

manufacturing techniques based on polymeric and metallic materials. A first source of hierarchical architecture arises from using fibers covered by lattices on a smaller scale [9]. A second strategy employs meshes with fractal geometry (Fig. 1) [10]. Reinforcements with hierarchical structure are combined with a cement mortar, in order to obtain advanced composites with enhanced ILSS, and enhanced first-crack strength and fracture toughness.

We begin in Sect. 2 by describing the preparation of the mortar and fibers analyzed in the present study. Next, we analyze the firstcrack strength and toughness of fiber-reinforced mortar specimens through three point bending tests (Sect. 3). We continue by analyzing the morphology of the fibers' surface in the virgin state and after their pull-out from the matrix (Sect. 4). We end in Sect. 5 with concluding remarks and an outline of future work to be carried out to deepen the potential of AM for the optimal design of novel composite materials.

# 2. Cement mortar reinforcement with 3D printed fibers

Let us examine the fiber reinforcement of a pre-mixed cementbased mortar matrix of class M5 [11] with mechanical properties shown in Table 1. We employ reinforcing fibers fabricated through two different AM technologies: PolyJet 3D printing of liquid photopolymers through the Objet500 Connex commercial printer by Stratasys<sup>®</sup>, and electron beam melting (EBM) of high-strength metallic materials through the Arcam EBM S12 facility available at the Department of Materials Science and Engineering of the University of Sheffield.

We printed six polymeric fibers in the photopolymer transparent resin Fullcure 720 (see Table 1 for mechanical properties), with 7.5 mm diameter and 100 mm length, Three of these fibers show smooth lateral surface (hereafter denoted as "Pol\_S" fibers, see Fig. 2a), while another three are coated on the lateral surface with a fractal lattice based on the Koch snowflake ("Pol\_R" fibers, see Figs. 1a and 2b).

Metallic fibers were also produced, employing EBM to manufacture four cylindrical fibers in the titanium alloy Ti6Al4V [6], with 7.5 mm diameter, 160 mm length, and smooth lateral surface ("Ti\_S" fibers, see Fig. 3a,c and Table 1). We also employed EBM to produce four 7.0 mm diameter Ti6Al4V fibers, coated with a 0.75 mm  $\times$  0.75 mm grid of cylindrical embossments. These cylinders exhibit 0.20 mm diameter and 0.50 mm length ("Ti\_R" fibers, see Fig. 3b,d and Fig. 4).

A microscope characterization of the surface morphology of the examined fibers is given in Sect. 4. Such fibers were employed to reinforce prismatic specimens of a cement mortar with square cross-section, 40 mm width and 160 mm length. Mortar specimens were manufactured by adding 180 cc of water for each kg of the premixed cement mortar, according to manufacturer's recommendations. We set the mortar cover of Pol\_S and Pol\_2 fibers equal to 20 mm (effective depth equal to 200 mm: fibers placed at mid-

21	
----	--

Mechanical properties of mortar and fibers.

Class M5 mortar					
Mass density [kg/m <sup>3</sup> ]	1515				
Compressive strength [MPa]	5				
Flexural strength [MPa]	1				
Fullcure 720 Stratasys <sup>®</sup> photopolymer					
Mass density [kg/m <sup>3</sup> ]	1.18-1.19				
Tensile strength [MPa]	50-65				
Modulus of elasticity [MPa]	2000-3000				
Fully dense Ti6Al4V titanium alloy					
Mass density [kg/m <sup>3</sup> ]	4420				
Tensile strength [MPa]	910				
Modulus of elasticity [GPa]	120				

height), and that of Ti\_S and Ti\_R fibers equal to 7 mm (effective depth equal to 33 mm). The above fiber placements were aimed at reproducing pure crack-bridging reinforcement in the case of the low modulus Pol\_S and Pol\_R fibers, and combined shear-flexure reinforcement in the case of the high modulus Ti\_S and Ti\_R fibers (see Table 1). All the specimens were cured at room temperature for 28 days before testing. We use the nomenclature Pol\_R, Pol\_S, Ti\_R, and Ti\_S to denote the mortar specimens reinforced with the corresponding 3D printed fibers, and unreinforced mortar specimens by the symbol UNR. We manufactured three Pol\_R, Pol\_S, Ti\_R and Ti\_S specimens each, and four UNR specimens.

#### 3. Three point bending tests

We studied the mechanical response of the examined fiberreinforced mortars by carrying out three-point bending (TPB) tests in displacements control, with 0.25 mm/min loading rate. For each examined specimen, we first determined the applied load versus mid-span deflection curve, and next we computed the first crack strength, shear capacity and material toughness according to the methods specified in the international standards for construction materials. The load-deflection curves obtained for Pol\_S and Pol\_R specimens are illustrated in Figs. 5 and 6, respectively, while the analogous curves competing to Ti\_S and Ti\_R specimens are given in Figs. 7 and 8, respectively. Figs. 9–11 illustrate the synchronization of frames taken from in-situ videos of the TPB tests and the load-deflection curve of some Pol\_R, Ti\_S and Ti\_S specimens [12]. Finally, Fig. 12 provides pictures of the configurations after TPB testing of selected specimens.

The results in Figs. 5 and 6 highlight that the maximum load carried by UNR specimens is approximatively equal to 0.6 kN, and is reached just before crack onset. Such specimens exhibit brittle failure, and fast snapping to a collapsed configuration with zero residual strength after crack onset (see Fig. 12a). In contrast to this, Pol\_S specimens exhibit residual load carrying



Fig. 1. Lattices with fractal geometry used to form reinforcements of composite materials: (a) fiber coating; (b) fabric; (c) fiber cross-section; (d) junction element/fiber embossment (Apollonian sphere packing) [10].



Fig. 2. Photographs of Pol\_S (a) and Pol\_R (b) fibers.



Fig. 3. Top and side views of Ti\_S (a,c) and Ti\_R (b,d) fibers.



Fig. 4. Cross-section (a) and 3D view (b) of a portion of the Ti\_R fiber.

capacity approximately constant and equal to 0.25 kN in the post-cracking regime (Fig. 5). The load-deflection curves of Pol\_R specimens show a marked load drop after crack onset, which is followed by a hardening branch, and next by a second load drop and a softening branch leading to specimen failure (Fig. 6). In such specimens, we observed a shear-type failure affected by diagonal cracks propagating from the point of application of the vertical load (see Figs. 9 and 12c), while in Pol\_S specimens we observed a flexural-type failure due to the vertical propagation of the central crack up to failure (no diagonal cracks, see Fig. 12b).

Figs. 7 and 8 illustrate the results of TPB tests on Ti\_S and Ti\_R specimens, respectively. Both Ti\_S and Ti\_R specimens show force-deflection response characterized by a load drop at crack onset; a slightly hardening response after such a load drop; a second load

drop and a final plateau or softening branch leading to specimen failure.

The maximum load carried by Ti\_S specimen (at crack opening) is about equal to 1.1 kN on average (Fig. 7), while the maximum load carried by Ti\_R specimens is about equal to 1.6 kN on average (Fig. 8). It is worth noting that both Ti\_S and Ti\_R specimens exhibit maximum load carrying capacity that is more than twice that of Pol\_S (~0.45 kN, cf. Fig. 5), Pol\_R (~0.55 kN, cf. Fig. 6) and UNR (~0.60 kN, cf. Figs. 5–6) specimens. Both Ti\_S and Ti\_R specimens exhibited shear-type failure, as shown in Figs. 10,11,12d–e. The optical microscopy analyses presented in Sect. 4 show that Ti\_S fibers exhibit considerable surface roughness (not included in the CAD design), as well as Ti\_R fibers, due to material processing defects (like, e.g., internal porosity) common in the EBM process [6].



Fig. 5. Force vs. deflection curves of Pol\_S specimens (UNR: averaged response of UNR specimens).



Fig. 6. Force vs. deflection of Pol\_R specimens (UNR: averaged response of UNR specimens).



Fig. 7. Force vs. deflection curves of Ti\_S specimens.

#### 3.1. Fracture toughness

By extending the provisions of ASTM C1018 [13] to the current materials, we characterize the fracture toughness of the fiber-reinforced mortars examined in the present study through the following index:

$$I = \frac{A(\delta = 3\overline{\delta})}{A_{UNR}(\overline{\delta})},\tag{1}$$

where  $\overline{\delta}$  denotes the mid-span deflection in correspondence with the first crack load of the generic specimen;  $A(\delta = 3\overline{\delta})$  denotes the



Fig. 8. Force vs. deflection curves of Ti\_R specimens.



Fig. 9. Synchronization between frames from an in-situ video of a TPB on the Pol\_R specimen #3 (left) and the load-deflection curve of the same specimen (right).



Fig. 10. Synchronization of frames from in-situ videos of a TPB on the Ti\_S specimen #1 (left: front view, center: back view) and the load-deflection curve of the same specimen (right).

area under the load-deflection curve from the origin up to  $\delta = 3\overline{\delta}$ ; and  $A_{UNR}(\overline{\delta})$  denotes the mean value of the area under the loaddeflection curve of UNR specimens up to crack opening. The above index represents a measure of the relative energy absorption capacity of the fiber-reinforced materials against the unreinforced mortar. Table 2 gives the toughness index *I* of the examined mortar specimens, and the mean values of such a quantity that we recorded for each different material.

The results in Table 2 show that Pol\_S and Pol\_R specimens exhibit a considerable energy absorption capacity, as compared to unreinforced specimens, while Ti\_S and Ti\_R specimens exhibit extremely large values of *I*, as compared both to the unreinforced mortar analyzed in the present study, and the fiber reinforced mortars and concretes analyzed in Refs. [14–20]. It is worth noting, however, that most of specimens analyzed in the present work exhibit flexural collapse under deflections lower than 5.5  $\overline{\delta}$ , which make it impossible to compute the toughness index *I*<sub>10</sub> and the residual strength factor *R*<sub>5,10</sub> [14,15] for such materials. In other words, the materials examined in the present study exhibit large toughness and residual strength in the first post-crack regime [16,17], but brittle response for very large deflections (increased brittleness in additively manufactured Ti6Al4V is frequently observed at high strains, due to the low defect tolerance of the alloy [21]). We remark, however, that the deflection regime  $\delta \leq 3\overline{\delta}$  characterizes a wide range of real-life applications dealing with small or moderately large strains of construction materials.

#### 3.2. Shear capacity and first crack strength

As we already noticed, Pol\_R, Ti\_S and Ti\_R specimens exhibited shear failure under TPB tests, with propagation of diagonal cracks from the point of application of the external load down to the hinge supports (cf. Figs. 9–12) [22,23]. On extending results for reinforced concretes without shear reinforcements [22,23] to the present mortars, we hereafter analyze the provisions of different international standards for the shear capacity of such materials. The shear



Fig. 11. Synchronization of frames from in-situ videos of a TPB on the Ti\_R specimen #1 (left: front view, center: back view) and the load-deflection curve of the same specimen (right).

capacities predicted by ACI 318 [24], BS 8110-1 [25], and EC2 [26] are respectively given by the following formulas

$$V_{Rd}^{ACI} = 0.17\phi_c \sqrt{f_{ck}bd}$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

$$V_{Rd}^{BS} = \frac{0.79}{\gamma_M} \left(100 \frac{A_s}{bd}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(\frac{400}{d}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\frac{f_{ck}}{25}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} bd$$
(3)

$$V_{Rd}^{EC2} = \min\left\{\frac{0.18}{\gamma_c} k \left(100 \frac{A_s}{bd} f_{ck}\right)^{1/3}, 0.035 \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{200}{d}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{f_{ck}}\right\} bd$$
(4)

where:  $A_s$  and d are the fiber cross sectional area and the effective depth of the cross-section (cross-section height minus the mortar cover), respectively;  $f_{ck}$  denotes the characteristic compressive

strength of the mortar declared by the manufacturer ( $f_{ck} = 5.00$  MPa, cf. cf. Table 1); and it results:  $\gamma_M = 1.25$ ,  $\varphi_c = 0.85$ ,  $\gamma_c = 1.5$ .

Table 3 compares the predictions of the shear capacities of the examined materials with the mean values of the experimental capacities  $\overline{V}_{exp}$  corresponding to one half of the peak loads observed in Figs. 5–8 (averaged among all specimens). The results in Table 3 show that ACI 318 [24] best matches experimental results for Pol\_R and Pol\_S specimens (0.26 kN vs 0.27–0.30 kN), while EC2 [26] instead best matches the experimental shear capacity of Ti\_S and Ti\_R specimens (0.67 kN vs 0.65–0.83 kN). It should be remarked that the above codes do not account for the surface microstructure and roughness of the reinforcing elements.

We now investigate on the first crack strength of the analyzed materials by computing the maximum tensile stress  $f_{cr}$  carried by the mortar in correspondence with the load  $F_{cr}$  that produces crack initiation. The bending moment associated with such a load



(d): Ti\_S #2

(e): Ti R # 4

Fig. 12. Pictures of different specimens taken after the completion of TPB tests.

 Table 2

 Fracture toughness indices of the examined specimens.

Specimen	Ι	Mean value	Specimen	Ι	Mean value
Pol_S_1	3.12	3.12	Ti_S_1	39.08	41.63
Pol_S_2	3.24		Ti_S_2	51.59	
Pol_S_3	3.01		Ti_S_3	34.23	
Pol_R_1	2.36	2.87	Ti_R_1	68.15	51.15
Pol_R_2	3.13		Ti_R_2	52.87	
Pol_R_3	3.12		Ti_R_3	32.44	

 Table 3

 Comparison between experimental and theoretical predictions of shear capacity and first crack strength.

Specimens	d	As	$\overline{V}_{exp}$	$V_{Rd}^{BS}$	$V_{Rd}^{ACI}$	$V_{Rd}^{EC2}$	$\overline{f}_{cr}$
	[mm]	[ <i>mm</i> <sup>2</sup> ]	[kN]	[kN]	[kN]	[kN]	[MPa]
Pol_S	20	44.18	0.27	0.79	0.26	0.53	1.25
Pol_R	20	44.18	0.30	0.79	0.26	0.53	1.42
Ti_S	33	38.48	0.65	1.15	0.42	0.67	1.45
Ti_R	33	38.48	0.83	1.15	0.42	0.67	1.97

is trivially equal to  $M_{cr} = F_{cr}L/4$ , L denoting the clear length of the specimen (L = 100 mm). On assuming linear elastic behavior of the material up to crack initiation and homogenized properties for the fiber-reinforced cross-section [26], we obtain the mean values of  $f_{cr}$  given in Table 3. Such results correspond to assuming the fiber-mortar homogenization factor equal to  $E_f/E_m$ , where  $E_f$  is the Young modulus of the fiber material, and  $E_m$  is the Young modulus of the mortar. The latter has been computed as  $E_m \approx 1000 f_{ck}$  [27], obtaining: Em~5000 MPa. The Young modulus of the EBM-manufactured Ti6Al4V fibers has been assumed equal to 120 GPa, which is equal to that of the fully dense material (see Table 1) to account for measured 0.2% (or less) internal material porosity. The results in Table 3 show that all the fiber reinforced specimens exhibit first crack strength greater than the flexural strength of the mortar declared by the manufacturer (1.0 MPa, cf. cf. Table 1). The maximum value of such a property is exhibited by Ti\_R specimens, (1.97 MPa), which is considerably larger than the first crack strength of Ti\_S (1.45 MPa), Pol\_S (1.25 MPa) and Pol\_R (1.42 MPa) specimens.

# 4. Surface morphology of 3D printed fibers before and after testing

Optical microscopy was employed to investigate on the morphology of the fiber surface at the virgin state and after their pull-out from the matrix. Fig. 13 shows optimal microscope images of the examined fibers taken with the optical microscope Olympus SZ-PT using  $1500 \times$  magnification, before their insertion into the matrix (a), and after fiber pull-out (b). Grayscale versions of the images in Fig. 13 were obtained through ImageJ (http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/), a public domain Java image processing software. Each image in Fig. 13 was captured and converted from color to gray-scale, by application of a grey value threshold and elliptical fit (Fig. 14).

The images provided in Figs. 13–14 show the presence of mortar particles attached to the pulled-out fibers. Large size mortar particles (with maximum features up to ~1.0 mm) are visible on the surface of Ti\_R and Pol\_R fibers, while finer scale particles are visible on the surface of the Ti\_S fiber. Small size mortar particles are also visible on the surface of the Pol\_S fiber after pull-out. The presence of large size mortar particles attached to the pulled-out fibers is an indicator of high roughness of the fracture surface at the fiber-matrix interface. Such a phenomenon proves that a layer of matrix covers the fiber during the pull-out, causing enhanced interfacial bonding. The development of a rough fracture surface within such a layer, and the crack-deflection mechanisms observed in Figs. 9-11 indicate improvement of matrix toughness in presence of shear failure. Overall, we observe significant increases in the interlaminar shear strength of mortars reinforced with Pol\_R, Ti\_S and Ti\_R fibers, as compared to the unreinforced material.

#### 5. Concluding remarks

We have investigated the use of 3D printed fibers for the flexural reinforcement of a cement mortar, employing polymeric (Fullcure 720 Stratasys<sup>®</sup> photopolymer) and metallic (Ti6Al4V titanium alloy) fibers manufactured with different technologies and surface morphologies.

Three point bending tests on fiber-reinforced mortar specimens have highlighted crack patterns indicating shear failure in the case of reinforcements with high surface roughness fibers (Pol\_R, Ti\_S and Ti\_R fibers), and flexural failure in the case of reinforcements



Fig. 13. Optical microscope images (1500 × magnification) of the examined fibers before their insertion into the matrix (a) and after pull-out (b).



Fig. 14. Grayscale images of the examined fibers before their insertion into the matrix (a) and after pull-out (b).

with smooth (Pol\_S) fibers, as well as in the case of unreinforced specimens (Sect. 3). The results of Sect. 4 show that the fiber surface geometry strongly influences interfacial bonding, while the chemical nature of the fiber and mortar materials markedly affects the shear capacity and the flexural strength of the fiber-reinforced material (cf. Sect. 3.2).

All the results presented in this study indicate that an optimized fiber surface design may significantly increase the energy absorption capacity of fiber-reinforced mortars. They represent a first step in the direction of designing reinforcing elements with hierarchical structure to form fabrics, fibers and coatings of groundbreaking reinforcements for next generation composites, profiting from the rapid prototyping capabilities of AM technologies at different scales.

Future extensions of the present study will focus on nano-, microand macro-scale lattice reinforcements for a wide range of composite materials, through a closed-loop approach including the computational design and the additive manufacturing of physical models via innovative, multimaterial deposition techniques. An experimental characterization phase will implement and verify the theoretical predictions. The design phase will employ multiscale approaches to the toughness and strength of composite materials reinforced with multiscale fibers and/or fabrics, as a function of the microstructure [28,29]. Mechanical models of the composite material will be formulated at the meso-scale, through discrete and/or finite element approaches, assuming different constitutive equations for the bulk phases and the reinforcement-matrix interface (via variational fracture [29,30]). The optimal topologies of the reinforcing elements will be researched using structural optimization procedures [31–34], on employing minimum weight, maximum composite strength, and/or maximum fracture toughness as goal functions.

# Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the Geoconsult Laboratory of Manocalzati (Avellino) and Sintesi Sud Srl of Ariano Irpino (Avellino) for technical support provided during TPB tests, and the 3D printing of Pol\_S and Pol\_R fibers, respectively. The authors would also like to thank Mariella De Piano (Department of Civil Engineering, University of Salerno) and Dr. Filomena Impero (Department of Chemical, Materials and Production Engineering, University of Naples "Federico II") for their precious assistance respectively with TBP tests and optimal microscope investigations.

## References

- Lu MH, Feng L, Chen YF. Phononic crystals and acoustic metamaterials. Mater Today 2009;12:34–42.
- [2] Kadic M, Bückmann T, Stenger N, Thiel M, Wegener M. On the practicability of pentamode mechanical metamaterials. Appl Phys Lett 2012;100:191901.
   [3] Schittny M, Bückmann T, Kadic M, Wegener M. Elastic measurements on
- [3] Schittny M, Bückmann T, Kadic M, Wegener M. Elastic measurements on macroscopic three-dimensional pentamode metamaterials. Appl Phys Lett 2013;103:231905.
- [4] Meza LR, Das S. Greer JR Strong, lightweight, and recoverable threedimensional ceramic nanolattices. Science 2014;345:1322–6.
- [5] Zheng X, Deotte J, Alonso MP, Farquar GR, Weisgraber TH, Gemberling G, et al. Design and optimization of a light-emitting diode projection microstereolithography three-dimensional manufacturing system. Rev Sci Instrum 2012;83:125001.
- [6] Amendola A, Nava EH, Goodall R, Todd I, Skelton RE, Fraternali F. On the additive manufacturing and testing of tensegrity structures. Compos Struct 2015;131:66–71.
- [7] Li J, Wu Z, Huang C, Li L. Multiscale carbon nanotube-woven glass fiber reinforced cyanate ester/epoxy composites for enhanced mechanical and thermal properties. Compos Sci Technol 2014;104:81–8.
- [8] Mei H, Bai Q, Ji T, Li H, Cheng L. Effect of carbon nanotubes electrophoreticallydeposited on reinforcing carbon fibers on the strength and toughness of C/SiC composites. Compos Sci Technol 2014;103:94–9.
- [9] Fleck NA, Deshpande VS. Ashby MF micro-architectured materials: past, present and future. Proc R Soc A 2010;466:2495–516.
- [10] Fraternali F, Fabbrocino F, Farina I, 2015. Multiscale structural element ("Elemento Strutturale a Geometria Multiscala") Italian Patent Application No.102015000044896.
- [11] EN 998-2. Specification for mortar for masonry Part 2: masonry mortar. 2003.
- [12] Raney JR, Fraternali F, Amendola A, Daraio C. Modeling and in situ identification of material parameters for layered structures based on carbon nanotube arrays. Compos Struct 2011;93:3013–8.
- [13] ASTM C1018. American society for testing and materials. Standard test method for flexural strength of fiber reinforced concrete. Book of ASTM Standards. Barr Harbor Drive (West Conshohocken, PA, United States): ASTM; 1998.
- [14] Fraternali F, Farina I, Polzone C, Pagliuca E, Feo L. On the use of R-PET strips for the reinforcement of cement mortars. Compos Part B-Eng 2013;46:207–10.
- [15] Spadea S, Farina I, Carrafiello A, Fraternali F. Recycled nylon fibers as cement mortar reinforcement. Constr Build Mater 2015;80:200–9.
- [16] Fraternali F, Ciancia V, Chechile R, Rizzano G, Feo L. Incarnato L experimental study of the thermo-mechanical properties of recycled PET fiber reinforced concrete. Compos Struct 2011;93:2368–74.
- [17] Fraternali F, Spadea S. Berardi VP effects of recycled PET fibers on the mechanical properties and seawater curing of Portland cement-based concretes. Constr Build Mater 2014;61:293–302.

- [18] Cuenca E, Echegaray-Oviedo J, Serna P. Influence of concrete matrix and type of fiber on the shear behavior of self-compacting fiber reinforced concrete beams. Compos Part B-Eng 2015;75:135–47.
- [19] Kim H, Kim G, Gucunski N, Nam J, Jeon J. Assessment of flexural toughness and impact resistance of bundle-type polyamide fiber-reinforced concrete. Compos Part B-Eng 2015;78:431–46.
- [20] Martins A, Vasconcelos G, Fangueiro R, Cunha F. Experimental assessment of an innovative strengthening material for brick masonry infills. Compos Part B-Eng 2015;80:328–42.
- [21] Hernandez-Nava E, Smith CJ, Derguti F, Tammas-Williams S, Leonard F, Withers PJ, et al. The effect of density and feature size on mechanical properties of isostructural metallic foams produced by additive manufacturing. Acta Mater 2015;85:387–95.
- [22] Rombach GA, Kohl M. Nghiep VH shear design of concrete members without shear reinforcement - a solved problem? Procedia Eng 2011;14:134–40.
- [23] Ikegawa T, Saito H, Ohuchi H, Kitoh H, Tsunokake H. Flexural and shear failure tests of reinforced concrete beams with low grade recycled aggregate. Memoirs of the Faculty of Engineering, 50. Osaka City University; 2009. p. 29–36.
- [24] ACI Task Committee 318. Building code requirements for structural concrete (ACI 318-08) and commentary. Farmington Hills: American Concrete Institute; 2008.
- [25] BS8110-1. Structural use of concrete, part 1: code of practice for design and construction. London: British Standards Institute; 1997.
- [26] EN 1992-1-1. Eurocode 2. Design of concrete structures Part 1-1: general rules and rules for buildings. 2004.
- [27] EN 1996-1-1. Eurocode 6: design of masonry structures Part 1-1: general rules for reinforced and unreinforced masonry structures. 2005.
- [28] Li Y, Zhou M. Prediction of fracture toughness of ceramic composites as function of microstructure: I. Numerical simulations. J Mech Phys Solids 2013;61(2):472–88.
- [29] Fraternali F. Free discontinuity finite element models in two-dimensions for in-plane crack problems. Theor Appl Fract Mech 2007;47:274–82.
- [30] Schmidt B, Fraternali F. Ortiz M Eigenfracture: an eigendeformation approach to variational fracture. Multiscale Model Sim 2009;7(3):1237–66.
- [31] Fraternali F, Marino A, El Sayed T, Della Cioppa A. On the structural shape optimization through variational methods and evolutionary algorithms. Mech Adv Mater Struc 2011;18:224–43.
- [32] Skelton RE, Fraternali F, Carpentieri G, Micheletti A. Minimum mass design of tensegrity bridges with parametric architecture and multiscale complexity. Mech Res Commun 2014;58:124–32.
- [33] Fraternali F, Carpentieri G, Modano M, Fabbrocino F, Skelton RE. A tensegrity approach to the optimal reinforcement of masonry domes and vaults through fiber-reinforced composite material. Compos Struct 2015;134:247–54.
- [34] Fabbrocino F, Farina I, Berardi VP, Ferreira AJM, Fraternali F. On the thrust surface of unreinforced and FRP-/FRCM-reinforced masonry domes. Compos Part B-Eng 2015;83:297–305.